REMARKS

Favorable consideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are presently pending in this case, Claim 5 having been amended by way

of the present Amendment.

In response to the Election of Species requirement dated May 8, 2006, the Applicants

provisionally elect with traverse the species of Group II, Species 1 shown in Figure 1, and

Species a shown in Figure 3, and identify Claims 2-5 as readable on the provisionally elected

species.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement based on MPEP § 803,

which states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can

be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or

independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Election requirement on the

grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious

burden on the Examiner.

7

Application Serial No.: 10/669,552

Response to Office Action dated May 8, 2006

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-10 be conducted.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/01)

GJM:CDW:brf

I:\atty\cdw\24xxxx\243204US2\Election of Species.doc